Interview Summary	10/553,256	NAITO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sabiha Qazi	1612	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>Sabiha Qaz, Ph.D. (Examiner)i</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Thomas Pavelko (Attorney)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>19 June 2009</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Pavelko called and explained about the status of the claims. Claims were amended before the filing of RCE. Therefore, the status wa sproperly indicated at that time. No new copy of the claims were filed with RCE. Examiner will send the action as soon as possible (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, must be attached. The LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
/Sabiha Qazi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612			

Application No.

Applicant(s)